

Report from Monday's plenary and parallel sessions

Jens Lundgren & Ton Coenen Co-chairs, HIV in Europe



ECDC, EU Commission, EU Parliament & WHO representatives

- ... unanimously agree on:
- the need for political leadership
- there's a gap between supporting where we should be and where we are
- the problem isn't the availability of money –
 but the priorities for spending it.



HIV testing in European Region

- More than 50% of PLHIV present late
- More than 90,000 preventable deaths per year
- Gap between East and West
- There are still places & populations in the EU with major problems (e.g. stockouts)



- Normalize HIV testing even at the cost of counselling [Valerie Delpech]
- Endorsement of testing's cost-effectiveness by NICE
- Three models for estimating national HIV population size, building on early HIV in Europe work [Ard van Sighem]



Parallel session findings

- Routine testing in emergency departments is feasible, acceptable and cost-effective. Prevalence is 0.6% in Paris ERs. Acceptance rate is high, but offer rate is low and thus coverage rate is only about 10% of ER patients in two different studies. [PS1/1, PS1/2, red pp.14-15]
- A multimedia informational tool was cost-effective in making testing more acceptable to patients, but actual gain in coverage was modest. [PS1/3, p. 16]
- An educational tool developed for hospital departments outside of genitourinary medicine proved to be feasible and acceptable and resulted in more testing. [PS1/4, p. 16]



- Among MSM, a large European survey (EMIS) found associations between being tested and being 25-39 years old, urban, "out", or having a new steady partner.
 - MSM who recently engaged in high-risk behavior were half as likely to be tested. [PS1/5, p. 17]
- A Belgian study of testing among sub-Saharan migrants found that health care providers were not in favor of PITC. Reasons included:
 - lack of info on HIV in sub-Saharan migrants
 - worries about stigmatizing patients and testing undocumented migrants
 - language barriers.

A PITC tool developed for use with these migrants made providers much more comfortable about initiating testing. [PS3/3, p. 25]



- Three W European studies (Barcelona, Lisbon, Paris) described effectiveness community-based testing checkpoints for MSM.
 The checkpoints are effective because they:
 - provide rapid tests
 - are community-based
 - offer counselling (esp. when it's peer counselling)
 - provide a strong link to care (95% of those testing positive were linked to care, and only 1-2% were completely lost to follow-up). [PS2/2, PS2/3, PS2/4, pp. 19-20]
- A Georgian study found that continuous interventions increase the knowledge of testing availability but that knowledge does not necessarily increase testing uptake. [PS3/2, p. 24]



- A testing program in Ukraine targeted female IDUs. Of those who agreed to be tested, 91% said they were primarily attracted by other offerings e.g. gynecological checkups and free shampoo, femidoms, hand creams, etc. [PS3/5, p. 26]
- The SIALON project found that testing among MSM in southern and eastern Europe is more frequent among MSM who self-identify as gay (esp. if living with a male partner), are older, city-dwelling, educated and/or are exposed to prevention programs. So: MSM testing initiatives should increase focus on other cohorts. [PS3/6, p. 26]
- In Belarus, 11% of those testing positive were forced to undergo sterilization, and 47% were advised by health providers not to have children. [PS2/5, p. 21]



- Late presentation prevalence has been steadily but slowly – decreasing.
 - The decrease is especially notable among MSM; no decline among groups such as migrants or heterosexual men.
- The document on indicator disease guidance is being finalized. Review it online at hiveurope.eu and email comments to dra@cphiv.dk by April 15th.



"We have to remove all legal and practical obstacles standing in the way of early diagnosis and access to treatment. And it should be a joint European and global effort."

Pia Olsen Dyhr Acting Minister of Health Denmark